Scalable Non-Linear Logistic Regression in R with an Application to the Census of Agriculture

Jake Abernethy USDA NASS Jake.Abernethy@usda.gov





Disclaimer

• The findings and conclusions of this presentation are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent any official USDA or U.S. Government determination or policy.





Contents

- Census of Agriculture
 - Research Question
 - Challenges
 - R Implementations
 - Results
 - Conclusion





- Conducted every 5 years (years ending in 2 and 7) using list-based frame (the census mailing list or CML)
- Count of all U.S. agricultural operations
 - Any place from which \$1,000 or more of agricultural products were produced and sold or normally would have been sold during the year
- Only source of uniform, comprehensive agricultural data for every county or county equivalent in the U.S.
- Leading source of information on characteristics of people operating farms





- How are the counts from the census produced?
- Information from two sources are used

≻COA

➢ June Area Survey (JAS)

• June Area Survey

Area-frame based

Conducted annually via in-person interviews

- Segments of land sampled
- Sampled segments divided into tracts representing unique land operating arrangements
- Measures the incompleteness of the CML





- Statistical Assumptions
 - Individual's response to COA and JAS are independent, given information collected on the forms
 - Probability of response is a function of variables measured on COA / JAS forms
- One more assumption:
 - ➢ Farms / nonfarms are perfectly distinguishable
 - ≻Not true in practice
 - ►NASS accounts for this
 - ➤Assumed here for ease of exposition





- Notation:
 - $\succ C$: Farms that returned census form
 - $> x_i$: A farm's data, collected by census or JAS
 - $\succ c_i$: Did the farm return the census form?
 - 1: Yes
 - 0: No
 - $\succ j_i$: Did the farm return the JAS form?
 - 1: Yes
 - 0: No





- Example: How do we use the COA and JAS to count the number of farms in the US?
- Model, given assumptions [2]

$$N_{farms} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} p_i^{-1}(c_i = 1 | j_i = 1, x_i)$$

• Obviously, the model of the probabilities has an impact on the final estimate





- Procedure in words
 - 1. Make matched dataset consisting of:
 - a. All JAS tracts
 - b. COA records overlapping JAS tracts (not all census records)
 - 2. Using the data from step 1, model probability of census response
 - 3. Use model to fit probabilities to all responding census farms (all census records)
 - 4. Get estimate by summing the inverse of these probabilities for every census record





Research Question

- All estimates produced by the COA depend on the response probabilities
- NASS uses linear logistic regression to model these probabilities
- How realistic is the linearity assumption?
- Test non-linear probability models to check
- Metric:

Divide matched data into training and validation set

➤Measure performance on validation set

 \succ Will examine affect on estimates (e.g. N_{farms}) in future study





Scalability Challenge

• Matched dataset for modeling is moderately sized

> N = 40,000, p = 55

- This is still large enough to stress available resources
- Models must be run many times
 Model selection / Cross Validation
- Algorithms must:
 - ➢ Be able to run quickly
 - ➤Sublinear time complexity
 - ➢ Be able to fit in available memory
 - Linear or sublinear space complexity





Scalability Challenge

- Scalability requirements eliminate some algorithms
 - $\geq O(N^2)$ space / time algorithms
 - ≻Kernel methods

➢Nearest neighbors

- >Anything that inverts a large matrix
- Scalable algorithms typically use MBSGD

MBSGD: Mini Batch Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Subsample of data is used to calculate gradient at each step
- ➤Unbiased estimate of true gradient
- Complexity determined by batch size





- Some algorithms that employ MBSGD
 - Linear Logistic Regression
 - ➢Extreme Gradient Boosting
 - Deep Neural Network
- Libraries
 - ≻LiblineaR [3]
 - ≻xgboost [4]
 - ≻ANN2 [5]
- See next few slides for basic implementations
- See algorithm references for more detailed use





- Linear Logistic Regression: Train Model
 >model = LiblineaR(X_train, y_train, type = 6, cost = 8)
- Linear Logistic Regression: Make Prediction
 >guess = predict(model,X_validate)
- Linear Logistic Regression: Check Accuracy

➤acc =

length(which(guess\$predictions==y_val))/length(y_val)





• Extreme Gradient Boosting: Train Model

>parms = list(max_depth = 2, eta = 0.1, nthread = 6, objective = "binary:logistic", eval_metric = "auc", subsample = 0.5)

>model = xgboost(data = X_train, label = y_train, params = parms)

• Extreme Gradient Boosting: Make Prediction

> guess = predict(model,X_validate)

guess = ifelse(guess>0.5,1,0)

• Extreme Gradient Boosting: Check Accuracy

> acc = length(which(guess==y_validate))/length(y_validate)





• Artificial Neural Network: Train Model

>model = neuralnetwork(X_train, y_train, hidden.layers=10, loss.type = "log", activ.functions = "relu", optim.type = "adam", learn.rates = 1e-05, L2 = 1, adam.beta1 = 0.9, adam.beta2 = 0.999, n.epochs = 4000, batch.size = 2048, val.prop = 0.1)

• Artificial Neural Network: Make Prediction

guess = predict(model,X_validate)

• Artificial Neural Network: Check Accuracy

> acc=length(which(guess[[1]]==y_validate))/length(y_validate)





R Implementation: Full Workflow

- Full workflow example (using xgboost as example)
 - 1. Get data
 - Training and validation
 - 2. Choose parameters using validation set
 - 3. Check Accuracy





R Implementation: Get Data

- X_train <- read.csv("File")
- X_val <- read.csv(" File ")
- y_train <- read.csv("File")
- y_val <- read.csv("File")
- X_train = X_train[,-1]
- X_val = X_val[,-1]
- y_train = y_train[,-1]
- y_val = y_val[,-1]
- X_train = data.matrix(X_train)
- X_val = data.matrix(X_val)
- y_train = unlist(y_train)
- y_val = unlist(y_val)
- maxs = apply(X_train,2,max)
- mins = apply(X_train,2,min)
- X_train = scale(X_train,center=mins,scale = maxs-mins)
- X_val = scale(X_val,center=mins,scale = maxs-mins)





R Implementation: Choose Parameters

- md = 2:10
- eta = seq(0.1,1,0.1)
- cvpairs = expand.grid(md,eta)
- acc = rep(0,nrow(cvpairs))
- for(i in 1:nrow(cvpairs)){
- parms <- list(max_depth = cvpairs[i,1], eta = cvpairs[i,2], nthread = 6,
- objective = "binary:logistic", eval_metric = "auc", subsample = 0.5)
- mod1 = xgboost(data = X_train, label = y_train, params = parms, nrounds = 5000, verbose = 0)
- guess = predict(mod1,X_val)
- guess = ifelse(guess>0.5,1,0)
- acc[i]=length(which(guess==y_val))/length(y_val)
- }





R Implementation: Check Accuracy

- which(acc==max(acc)) #1
- max(acc) #0.8827336
- cvpairs[1,] # Depth = 2 eta = 0.1





Results

Model	Validation Accuracy
Linear	85.56
Linear with Interactions	85.63
Extreme Gradient Boosting	88.27
Artificial Neural Network	84.58





Conclusion

- Conclusions
 - Extreme gradient boosting performs the best
 - ➤Linear model is still close behind
 - Demonstrates linearity is not a bad assumption
 - This is good! Lends additional credence to results on previous censuses





Future Work

• Try more non linear models

For example, approximate kernel logistic regression using random Fourier features [6] and MBSGD

- Try more census years
 - ≥2012 was used here

≻Also have data for 2017

• Compare actual estimated totals

>The validation accuracy is not very sensitive to the choice of model

 \succ Does the same hold for the estimate of N_{farms} ?





References

- [1]: Young, Linda J., Andrea C. Lamas, and Denise A. Abreu. "The 2012 Census of Agriculture: a capture—recapture analysis." *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*22.4 (2017): 523-539.
- [2]: Alho, Juha M. "Logistic regression in capture-recapture models." *Biometrics* (1990): 623-635.
- [3]: Fan, Rong-En, et al. "LIBLINEAR: A library for large linear classification." *Journal of machine learning research* 9.Aug (2008): 1871-1874.





References

- [4]: Chen, Tianqi, and Carlos Guestrin. "Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system." *Proceedings of the 22nd acm sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, 2016.
- [5] : <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ANN2/ANN2.pdf</u>
- [6] : Rahimi, Ali, and Benjamin Recht. "Random features for large-scale kernel machines." *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2008.









