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Standards

- Many standards development organizations (SDO)
- Open standards built by a process that is
  - Consensus-driven: general agreement w/o sustained dissent
  - Open: any stakeholder can join
  - Transparent: process available for inspection
  - Fair: everyone has same rights
  - Balanced: stakeholders represent user community
- Includes ISO, W3C, NISO, DDI Alliance, UNECE
Standards

- Caveat -
- Many SDOs, many standards
  - “Standards are great. There are so many of them!”
    - Karsten Rasmussen
  - “Standards are useless; look at the second S!”
    - Adrienne Tannenbaum
Interoperability

- Interoperability – ability of one system to work independently with some or all of another system
- Applied often to computerized systems, but also to data
- Data interoperability – ability to use data from another source without help from that source
- Implies extensive metadata are available
Interoperability

- But, metadata are data, too
- Data interoperability must include metadata interoperability
- Does this require the metadata have metadata?
- Shared metadata model needed
  - Standard
  - Technical specification
- Minus that, data problem is just repeated
Standards – Why?

- Reduces or eliminates design steps
- Increases chances for interoperability
  - Standards neither necessary nor sufficient
- Building systems – claims of conformity
  - Conformance – Satisfaction of all requirements
  - Systems can be built independently
  - Allows system builders to achieve interoperability
Standards – Why?

- If your metadata system conforms to a specification
  - I can build a system to read your metadata automatically
  - I can write metadata in a format you can understand immediately

- But, if I use a different specification, then
  - I have to translate your metadata into my specification and vice-versa
  - May not be easy
  - With 13 principal statistical agencies (minus OMB),
    - Possible translations: \( \binom{13}{2} = 78 \)
    - This is too complex; Need cooperation
Standards – Why?

- Adopting standards greatly reduces this problem
- There’s still the problem of the second S
  - There may be many standards to choose among

- Let’s try to make sense of this problem
  - Standards developed to solve certain problems – Scope
  - Don’t use them beyond their scope
Standards Illustrated

- Through a data integration scenario
- Illustrate metadata “content” standards
  - Focus on what can be described
  - Not on how to build a system
- Overview, not detailed descriptions
- Include some about the groups developing the standards
Scenario

“America’s Safest Cities”
- by Zack O’Malley Greenburg
- 26 October 2009 Forbes Magazine

Rank cities by “livability”
- Workplace fatalities
- Traffic fatalities
- Violent crimes
- Natural disaster risk
Scenario

- Rank MSAs based on
  - Numerical ranking for each measure
  - Sum of rankings

- Questions
  - Can we find and understand relevant data?
  - If so, where? how?
Scenario – Discovery

- Natural to ask if data can easily be found through search
  - Quick answer – No
  - Google searches not entirely successful
    - URLs provided for relevant web sites
    - Relevant data sets, no
    - Still had to search web sites to find data

- Discovery is a very hard problem
  - Guarantee to find all resources on a particular subject??
Scenario – Discovery

- Another solution – data set registry or catalog
  - Think – library card catalog
  - But – on line

- Look at Data.Gov

- Many other catalogs in existence
  - Museums – Smithsonian Museum of Natural History
Discovery (Catalog) Standards

Relevant standards

- Project Open Data Metadata Schema
  - Data.Gov
- Dublin Core Metadata Initiative
  - NISO, ISO
- MARC – MAchine Readable Catalog
  - NISO, ISO
- ISO/IEC 11179 – Metadata registries
  - ISO
- DCAT (Data Catalog Vocabulary)
  - W3C
- DDI (Data Documentation Initiative)
  - DDI Alliance
Scenario – Discovery

- Finding data – Discovery
  - Workplace fatalities
    - Bureau of Labor Statistics
  - Traffic fatalities
    - National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
Problem

- How do we know to select particular data sets?
- Are there others?
- Need data dictionaries to be sure
Scenario – Data Dictionary

Finding data – Discovery

Workplace fatalities
- Bureau of Labor Statistics
- Data based on MSA
- Data given as number, not rate

Traffic fatalities
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
- Data based on city, not MSA
- Based on rates
Scenario – Data Dictionary

- Data Dictionary – for statistical data

- Contains
  - Variables
    - or Measures
    - Code lists or Classifications
  - Questions
  - Maybe some methodology as well

- Description of variables needed at a minimum
Scenario – Data Dictionary

- Variables, Measures, Classifications – needed for
  - Selecting specific data sets
  - Using selected data sets
- Level beyond discovery
- Most discovery models don’t account for this
Data Dictionary Standards

- ISO/IEC 11179
- DDI
  - Codebook
  - Lifecycle
- UNECE
  - GSIM (Generic Statistical Information Model)
- Inter-agency SCOPE/Metadata
  - Data dictionary specification
Scenario – Methodology

- Methodological issues
  - Questions
  - Sampling
  - Post-collection processing
  - Post-collection estimation

- These can affect analyses
- And there are standards to document these
Standards for Methodology

- DDI (Data Documentation Initiative)
  - Codebook
  - Lifecycle
- GSIM (Generic Statistical Information Model)
- GSBPM (Generic Statistical Business Process Model)
SCOPE/Metadata

- SCOPE - Statistical Community of Practice and Engagement
  - Group to leverage common practice among agencies
  - Reduce costs, Increase sharing
  - Formed inter-agency group on metadata
    - Produced first data.gov specification
    - Geared towards statistical data sets
    - Produced data dictionary specification
      - Variables, Measures, Code Lists, and Classifications

- SCOPE/Metadata
  - Meets bi-weekly
  - Needs more participants
ISO/IEC 11179

- First standard on metadata, model based, reusable metadata
- Operational needs for a registry or catalog
- Standard built in 6 parts
- Used as input to DDI, GSIM, SDMX, and SCOPE/Metadata
  - SDMX – Statistical Data and Metadata eXchange
- Freely available from ISO
GSIM and GSBPM

- Developed under UNECE
  - UN Economic Commission for Europe
  - Comprises Europe, Canada, and US
  - Statistical cooperative program is world-wide

- Statistical metadata standards under Modernization efforts

- Many countries involved, especially
  - Australia, Canada, New Zealand, US
  - France, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Scandinavia, Slovenia
GSIM

- [https://statswiki.unece.org/display/gsim/Generic+Statistical+Information+Model](https://statswiki.unece.org/display/gsim/Generic+Statistical+Information+Model)

Model of statistical information objects

- 4 main sections
  - Conceptual, Structural, Business, Exchange
- High level, conceptual model
- No bindings – not directly implementable
- Some effort to build implementable system (LIM)
GSBPM


- Outline of statistical life-cycle processes
- Eight main phases
- Each phase has subparts
- Adopted by agencies to classify IT efforts and systems
DDI

- DDI Alliance - https://www.ddialliance.org/
- Consortium of data libraries, archives, producers, researchers
- Two threads
  - Codebook – data dictionary, not reusable metadata
  - Lifecycle – GSBPM-based
    - reusable, extensive methodology, includes Codebook
    - GSIM profile
- Both bound to XML, so immediately implementable
- University and commercial software available
- Yearly user conferences: NADDI, EDDI
SDMX

- https://sdmx.org/
- Managed by BIS, ECB, Eurostat, IMF, OECD, UNSD, WB
- For exchange of dimensional data
  - N-cubes, time series, other
- Based on XML, so implementable
- Complex learning curve
- Extensive installed base
- Yearly user conferences
Questions
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