Leveraging Survey Methods to Improve Administrative Record Estimates

Benjamin Reist

2nd FCSM/WSS Workshop on Quality of Integrated Data 01/25/2018



Can surveys be used to improve administrative record estimates?

(current approach: use ADRECs to improve survey estimates)



Using ADRECs to Improve Survey Estimates

- Sample Design
- Data Collection Monitoring
- Estimation
 - Weighting
 - Editing/Imputation
 - Substitution
- Evaluations
 - Nonresponse bias studies
 - Measurement error evaluations



Possible Data Quality Issues Associated with ADREC Estimates

- Coverage
 - Records on sampling frame not in administrative records
- Measurement
 - Unknown measurement issue
 - Difference in what is measured
 - Time lag
 - Similar but different definitions



High Quality ADREC (HQ)

Low Quality ADREC (LQ)

No ADREC (NA)



How a Survey Could Help

- Coverage
 - Estimate contribution of cases with no ADRECs
- Measurement
 - Adjust ADREC estimates to address measurement error
 - Monitor for new measurement issues in ADRECs



Survey Methods That Could be Used

Overlapping frames methodology

- Model-assisted estimation
 - Generalized Difference Estimators



Overlapping Frame Method





Overlapping Frames for ADRECs





Measurement Error Model

Additive error model assumed for ADREC estimate

$$\hat{t} = \lambda(\hat{t}_a^A + \delta_a) + (1 - \lambda)\hat{t}_a^S + \hat{t}_s$$

• δ_a is the bias in the ADREC estimate



J.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration J.S. CENSUS BUREAU J.S. CENSUS BUREAU

Bias Estimation

If the survey is assumed to be the "gold standard," using direct substitution

$$\hat{\delta}_a = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (y_i^S - y_i^A)$$

• $\hat{\delta}_a$ is the survey estimate of the error in the ADREC estimate



Adjusted ADREC Estimate

 Combining the coverage and measurement error adjustments

$$\hat{t} = \lambda(\hat{t}_{a}^{A} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(y_{i}^{S} - y_{i}^{A})) + (1 - \lambda)\hat{t}_{a}^{S} + \hat{t}_{s}$$

The first term can be thought of as a GREG estimator with intercept 0 and slope 1



Gold Standard Assumption

- Survey as the gold standard
 - Strong assumption
 - Wrong in many cases
- If ADREC is assumed to be the goal standard, then

$$\hat{t} = \hat{t}_a^A + \hat{t}_s$$



Assuming No Gold Standard

$$\hat{t} = \omega_s (\lambda \hat{t}_a^{GREG} + (1 - \lambda) \hat{t}_a^S) + \omega_A \hat{t}_a^A + \hat{t}_s$$

- ω_s is the probability the survey is correct
- ω_A is the probability the ADREC is correct
- $\omega_s + \omega_A = 1$
- $\hat{t}_{a}^{GREG} = \hat{t}_{a}^{A} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(y_{i}^{S} y_{i}^{A})$



Further Refinements

- Varying the λ and ω by domain
- Models other than direct substitution
 - Generalized Difference Estimators
 - GLM
 - Nonparametric Models
 - Time-to-Event Models
- Extends to multiple ADREC sources



Open Questions

- How to deal with nonresponse?
- How to allocate sample optimally across the domains and the part of the frame that is not covered by the ADRECs?
 - adaptively by rolling out sample in waves?
- How can this be done in a multivariate setting where there are multiple estimates of interest?



New Role For Data Collection

- To assist in estimating and updating the probability that the administrative records are correct in each domain
- To adjust bias caused by under-coverage and measurement error in administrative record estimates
- Monitor where administrative records could be improved



Contact Information

Benjamin.M.Reist@Census.gov

